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Small perturbations in the dielectric environment around a high quality whispering gallery mode resonator usually lead to a frequency shift of the resonator modes directly proportional to the polarizability of the perturbation. Here, we report experimental observations of strong frequency shifts that can be opposite and even exceed the contribution of the perturbations' polarizability. The mode frequencies of a lithium niobate whispering gallery mode resonator are shifted using substrates of refractive indices ranging from 1.50 to 4.22. Both blue- and red-shifts are observed, as well as an increase in mode linewidth, when substrates are moved into the evanescent field of the whispering gallery mode. We compare the experimental results to a theoretical model by Foreman et al. [1] and provide an additional intuitive explanation based on the Goos-Hänchen shift for the optical domain.

1. REFRACTIVE INDEX OF USED SUBSTRATES

Here we provide the Table S1 of all the substrates used in the main text as well as the relevant refractive indexes.

Table S1. Substrates with varying refractive index ($n_{\text{sub}}$) used to observe the frequency shifts of WGM resonances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>$n_{\text{sub}}$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germanium</td>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Selenide</td>
<td>ZnSe</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>[2, 4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Sulphide</td>
<td>ZnS</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>[2, 5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Niobate (ordinary)</td>
<td>LN(o)</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Niobate (extra-ordinary)</td>
<td>LN(e)</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapphire (ordinary)</td>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$(o)</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapphire (extra-ordinary)</td>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$(e)</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK7 Optical Glass</td>
<td>BK7</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>[8, 9]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. LINEWIDTH MEASUREMENTS

Our measurements are based on measuring repeated spectra of the WGM resonator. We selected a high quality $Q$ mode that was well coupled and isolated in the spectrum of modes. We made sure that the mode was initially critically coupled with respect to the diamond coupling prism. Then we repeatedly scanned over the mode while at the same time the substrate was moved towards the resonator. For each frequency scan a Lorentzian was fit to the mode and the linewidth and position of the mode determined. The linewidth measurement with respect
Fig. S1. Linewidth and resonant frequency shift data for the lithium niobate resonator. a,b) repeated linewidth measurements as the substrate (ZnSe, Ge) is moved towards the WGM resonator. The linewidth measurement follows a clear exponential behaviour till the WGM resonator is touched, indicated as the grey dashed line. At that point the WGM resonator is pushed by the substrate. c,d) Relative position of the resonance. This also has the expected exponential behaviour as the evanescent field is penetrated. We removed a constant linear drift in these measurements that we believe to be due to a slow external temperature drift in the setup. The measurements for ZnSe substrate a,c) are with a TM-polarized mode whereas those for Ge b,d) are with a TE-polarized mode. The distance scale is determined based on the exponential linewidth growth using a formula from Ref. [11], though its accuracy is unimportant for the measurements.

to piezo voltage/distance of the substrate is shown in Fig. S1 a,b) for the zinc selenide and the germanium substrate, respectively. We can identify the touching point by the change of the linewidth from the exponential fit [10]. The resonance position is shown in Fig. S1 c,d).

3. GOOS-HÄNCHEN SHIFT TOY MODEL

The Goos-Hänchen Shift toy model considers an that the substrate is a small (constant) effective distance $d_{\text{eff}}$ away from the resonator, as sketched in Fig. S2. The resonance shift and mode broadening are attributed to changes in the Fresnel reflection coefficient on the resonator rim. In particular, when there is no substrate present as in Fig. S2 a), the Fresnel reflection coefficient can be written as $r_0 = -\exp(-i\Theta)$ for a real phase $\Theta$, such that the light appears to reflect off a surface that is a distance of $\delta R = \Theta/(2k_0 n_{\text{res}} \cos \theta_i)$ away from the real surface, where $k_0$ is the vacuum wavevector of the light, $n_{\text{res}}$ is the bulk refractive index of the resonator, and $\theta_i$ is the angle of incidence of the light on the boundary. The fact that the light appears to reflect off of a surface exterior to the resonator is important for eikonal approximations for whispering-gallery eigenfrequencies [12, 13]. Addition of a substrate (Fig. S2 b) changes the reflection coefficient to $r = -\exp(-i(\Theta + \delta \Theta) - \alpha)$ for real $\alpha$ and $\delta \Theta$, each of which depend on the effective distance between the substrate and resonator. Thus the effective boundary of the whispering-gallery resonances shifts due to the $\delta \Theta$ term and there are additional losses due to the $\alpha$ term. The
**Fig. S2.** The altered Goos-Hänchen shift at the resonator rim. a) TIR inside the resonator when a substrate is not present in its close vicinity, b) TIR inside the resonator when a substrate of refractive index $n_{\text{sub}}$ is introduced inside its close vicinity. This results in either a blue-shift or red-shift of light, which depends on the refractive index of the substrate.

The resonance shift and broadening due to the extra terms are

\[
\text{resonance shift} = -\frac{c\delta\Theta}{2 \cos \theta_i 2\pi n_{\text{res}} R'},
\]

\[
\text{resonance broadening} = \frac{c\pi}{2 \cos \theta_i 2\pi n_{\text{res}} R'},
\]

where $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum. The proportionality constant is found by considering that the resonator’s effective radius increases by $\delta \Theta / (2k_0 n_{\text{res}} \cos \theta_i)$ and finding the mode frequency shift due to such a size increase. There is a factor of two in Eq. (S2) because the linewidth measures the power loss rate. The angle of incidence can be approximated by $\cos \theta_i \approx \sqrt{-\zeta_q (m/2)^{-1/3}}$, where $m$ is the azimuthal mode number, $q$ is the radial mode number, and $\zeta_q < 0$ is the $q$th root of the Airy function: $\text{Ai}(\zeta_q) = 0$. This approximation comes from analytical estimates for the mode’s effective refractive index [12].

The effective distance is used as a fitting parameter to match the results of the theory by Foreman et al. [1] and it effectively absorbs the complex geometric situation of a disc-shaped resonator – whose modes have a specific spatial distribution along disc’s rim – in contact with a planar substrate. The reflection coefficients were calculated using the tmm Python package [14].

As seen in Fig. 2 of the main text, the model agrees very well with the full analytical theory with the exception of TM-polarized modes when the substrate refractive index is greater than the resonator’s bulk refractive index.
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